THESE REFERENCES IN YOUR REPORT.	ERS 10 SAC STATE DACCALAUREATE LEARNING GUALS. PLEASE IGNORE					
Question 1: Program Learning Outcomes						
Q1.1. Which of the following Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals (BLGs) did you assess in 2014-2015? [Check all that apply] 1. Critical thinking 2. Information literacy	Q1.3. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university? X 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know					
3. Written communication 4. Oral communication 5. Quantitative literacy 6. Inquiry and analysis 7. Creative thinking 8. Reading 9. Team work 10. Problem solving 11. Civic knowledge and engagement 12. Intercultural knowledge and competency 13. Ethical reasoning 14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning 15. Global learning	Q1.4. Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC)? X 1. Yes 2. No (Go to Q1.5) 3. Don't know (Go to Q1.5)					
	Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency? X 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know					
	Q1.5. Did your program use the <u>Degree Qualification Profile</u> (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)? 1. Yes X 2. No, but I know what the DQP is 3. No, I don't know what the DQP is. 4. Don't know					
b. c.	Q1.6. Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable (See Attachment I)? X 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know					
Q1.2. Please provide more detailed background information ab above and other information such as how your specific PLOs we State BLGs:	ere explicitly linked to the Sac your PLOs?					
Integrative learning. Since the Liberal Studies Program is an interdiscip offerings from several departments as part of the major, it is critical to put all of this information together and graduate in a timely manner						
	L ON ONE PLO THAT YOU ASSESSED IN 2014-2015					

Question 2: Standard of Performance for the selected PLO					
Q 2.1. Specify one PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you checked the correct box for this PLO in Q1.1): Integrative Learning	Q2.2. Has the progradopted explicit statement of this PLO? 1. Yes X 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A		-	ance	
Q2.3. Please provide the rubric(s) and standard of performance that you have develop	oed for this PLO here	or in the	appendix	: [Word	
limit: 300]					
There is no rubric at this time.					
Q2.4. Please indicate the category in which the selected PLO falls into.					
1. Critical thinking					
2. Information literacy					
3. Written communication					
4. Oral communication					
5. Quantitative literacy					
6. Inquiry and analysis					
7. Creative thinking					
8. Reading					
9. Team work					
10. Problem solving 11. Civic knowledge and engagement					
12. Intercultural knowledge and competency					
13. Ethical reasoning					
14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning					
15. Global learning					
X 16. Integrative and applied learning					
17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge					
18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline					
19. Other:					
Please indicate where you have published the PLO, the standard of performance, and		Q2.5	Q2.6	Q2.7	
the rubric that measures the PLO:			Ę		
		(1) PLO	(2) Standards of Performance	(3) Rubrics	
1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO					
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO					
3. In the student handbook/advising handbook		Χ			
4. In the university catalogue					
5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters					
6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources or activities					
7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university					
8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning document		Χ			
9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation of	locuments				
10. Other, specify:					

Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of							
Da	Data Quality for the Selected PLO						
Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collect PLO in 2014-2015? X 1. Yes 2. No (Skip to Q6) 3. Don't know (Skip to Q6) 4. N/A (Skip to Q6)	=						
Q3.1A. How many assessment tools/methodid you use to assess this PLO? 1		for the selected PLO. means were data col Liberal Studies is an integrate courses (taken early inconducted using aggregions).	re how you collected the assessment data For example, in what course(s) or by what lected (see Attachment II)? [Word limit: 300] erdisciplinary program with only one of its own the academic career. Assessment must be gated data. In this case, data are fromt eh fact				
	easures (key ass						
Q3.3. Were direct measures [key assignment portfolios, etc.] used to assess this PLO? 1. Yes X 2. No (Go to Q3.7) 3. Don't know (Go to Q3.7) Q3.3.2. Please attach the direct measure you data.		Q3.3.1. Which of the following direct measures were used? [Check all that apply] 1. Capstone projects (including theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences 2. Key assignments from required classes in the program 3. Key assignments from elective classes 4. Classroom based performance assessments such as simulations, comprehensive exams, critiques 5. External performance assessments such as internships					
		or other community based projects 6. E-Portfolios 7. Other portfolios 8. Other measure. Specify:					
Q3.4. How was the data evaluated? [Select of the content of the co	dence (Go to Q3.5) the faculty who teaches group of faculty	s the class					
Q3.4.1. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment, thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A	Q3.4.2. Was the direct assignment, thesis, et and explicitly with the 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A	tc.) aligned directly e rubric?	Q3.4.3. Was the rubric aligned directly and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A				
Q3.5. How many faculty members participa assessment data collection of the selected F			as evaluated by multiple scorers, was there procedure to make sure everyone was				

		scoring similarly)? 1. Yes 2. No				
		3. Don't know				
Q3.6. How did you select the sample of students projects, portfolios, etc.]?	dent work [papers,	Q3.6.1. How did you decide how many samples of student work to review?				
Q3.6.2. How many students were in the	Q3.6.3. How many sa	-	Q3.6.4. Was the sample size of student			
class or program?	work did you evaluat	e?	work for the direct measure adequate? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know			
Q3B: Indirect M	leasures (survey	s, focus groups,	interviews, etc.)			
Q3.7. Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO? X 1. Yes 2. No (Skip to Q3.8) 3. Don't know Q3.7.2 If surveys were used, how was the sample size decided?		Q3.7.1. Which of the following indirect measures were used? [Check all that apply] 1. National student surveys (e.g., NSSE) 2. University conducted student surveys (e.g. OIR) 3. College/Department/program student surveys 4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews 5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews 6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews 7. Other, specify: Departmental Factbook Q3.7.4. If surveys were used, what was the response rate?				
your sample.						
Q3C: Other Med	standardize	. •	licensing exams,			
licensing exams or standardized tests used to assess the PLO? 1. National 2. Gene and 3. Othe		hich of the following measures were used? ional disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams neral knowledge and skills measures (e.g., CLA, CAAP, ETS PP, etc.) er standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g., ETS, GRE, etc.) er, specify:				
Q3.8.2. Were other measures used to asses 1. Yes X 2. No (Go to Q3.9) 3. Don't know (Go to Q3.9)	s the PLO?	Q3.8.3. If other meas	sures were used, please specify:			

		Q3D: Alignment a	nd Quality				
Q3.9. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? X 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know			Q3.9.1. Were ALL the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures for the PLO? X 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know				
	Questio	n 4: Data, Finding	gs and Con	clusions			
Q4.1. Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the assessment data, findings, and conclusions: (see Attachment III) [Word limit: 600 for selected PLO] The following is replicated from Table 15 of the Departmental Fact book.							
First time freshman	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009		
# entering 4-year grad rate	87 21%	86 24%	66 20%	85 15%	62 15%		
5-year grad rate	37%	48%	53%	40%	-		
6-year grad rate	47%	56%	59%	-	-		
Transfers # entering	2007 139	2008 150	2009 138	2010 127	2011 128		
2-year grad rate	17%	31%	24%	34%	44%		
3-year grad rate 4-year grad rate	45% 55%	61% 75%	57% 68%	71%	-		
		re excelling. Our 6-year gradua This is a tribute to our outsta					
Q4.3. For selected PLO, 1. Exceeded expect. 2. Met expectation, 3. Partially met exp 4. Did not meet exp 5. No expectation o 6. Don't know	ation/standard 'standard ectation/standard						

Question 5: Use of Assessm	ent Data	a (Closing	the Lo	op)	
Q5.1. As a result of the assessment effort in 2014-2015 and based on the prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate making any changes for your program (e.g., course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)? 1. Yes X 2. No (Go to Q6) 3. Don't know (Go to Q6) Q5.1.2. Do you have a plan to assess the impact of the changes that you anticipate making? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	program as description	ise describe wh a result of your of how you plan ord limit: 300 wo	assessmen n to assess t	t of this PLO. In	iclude a
Q5.2. How have the assessment data from last year (2013 - 2014)	been used so	far? [Check all tl	hat apply]		
	(1) Very Much	(2) Quite a Bit	(3) Some	(4) Not at all	(8) N/A
1. Improving specific courses					
2. Modifying curriculum					
3. Improving advising and mentoring					
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals					
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations					
6. Developing/updating assessment plan					
7. Annual assessment reports					
8. Program review					
Prospective student and family information					
10. Alumni communication					
11. WASC accreditation (regional accreditation)					
12. Program accreditation					
13. External accountability reporting requirement					
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations					
15. Strategic planning					
16. Institutional benchmarking					
17. Academic policy development or modification					
18. Institutional Improvement					
19. Resource allocation and budgeting					
20. New faculty hiring					
21. Professional development for faculty and staff					
22. Recruitment of new students					
23. Other Specify:					
23. Other specify.					
Q5.2.1. Please provide a detailed example of how you used the as	sessment data	a above.			

Additional Assessment Activities						
Q6. Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspects of a program that are not related to PLOs (i.e., impacts of an advising center, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected data on the program elements, please briefly report your results here. [Word limit: 300]						
Q7. What PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year? 1. Critical thinking 2. Information literacy 3. Written communication 4. Oral communication 5. Quantitative literacy 6. Inquiry and analysis 7. Creative thinking 8. Reading 9. Team work 10. Problem solving 11. Civic knowledge and engagement 12. Intercultural knowledge and competency 13. Ethical reasoning 14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning 15. Global learning X 16. Integrative and applied learning 17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge 18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline 19. Other, specify any PLOs that were assessed in 2014-2015 but not included above: a. b. c.						
n/a						

Program Information										
P1. Program/Concentration Name(s):			P2	P2. Program Director:						
Liberal Studies			Da	David M. Lang						
P1.1. Report Authors:			P2.	. 1. Departr	ment Cha	ir:				
David M. Lang			n/a	n/a						
P3. Academic unit: Department, Program, or	College:		P4	. College:						
Program			SSI	S						
P5. Fall 2014 enrollment for Academic unit (See <u>Department Fact</u> <u>Book 2014</u> by the Office of Institutional Research for fall 2014 enrollment: 543				P6. Program Type: [Select only one] X 1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major 2. Credential 3. Master's degree 4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.d) 5. Other. Please specify:						
Undergraduate Degree Program(s): P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs the academic unit has: 1				Master Degree Program(s): P8. Number of Master's degree programs the academic unit has:						
P7.1. List all the name(s): Liberal Studies			P8	P8.1. List all the name(s):						
P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program? 1				P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master program?						
Credential Program(s): P9. Number of credential programs the academic unit has: 0			P1	Doctorate Program(s) P10. Number of doctorate degree programs the academic unit has: 0						
P9.1. List all the names:			P1	P10.1. List all the name(s):						
When was your assessment plan?	1. Before 2007-08	2. 2007-08	3. 2008-09	4. 2009-10	5. 2010-11	6. 2011-12	7. 2012-13	8. 2013-14	9. 2014-15	10. No formal plan
P11. Developed										Х
P12. Last updated										Х
					1. Yes	2. No	3. Don't Know			
P13. Have you developed a curriculum map for this program?					Х					
P14. Has the program indicated explicitly where the assessment of student learning occurs in the curriculum?						Х				
P15. Does the program have any capstone class?							Х			
P16. Does the program have ANY capstone project?						Χ				